

SAMPLE REPORT

Your digital safety assessment

Banksia Studio

Prepared 28 October 2026 | Reference SAMPLE-001

Illustrative example only. This fictional business was not reviewed. Scores and recommendations demonstrate the report format.

At a glance

This fictional design studio has a simple public website and an Instagram presence. The main opportunities are a clearer reporting route, a consistent response process and better evidence that safeguards work. Nothing in this sample establishes legal compliance or proves that a public website has been inspected.

Assessment scope

Illustrative assessment of an ordinary business website and a business-operated social profile. The studio controls its own published material and account settings; the social platform controls its wider service. Proposed Digital Duty of Care coverage needs checking against the final law and actual service functionality.

What happens next

Review the facts and unknowns, assign the recommended actions and keep a copy with your business records. This AI-assisted third-party report supports your own risk management; it does not certify legal compliance or replace your responsibilities.

Assets and evidence

NOT VERIFIED

<https://example.com/>

No independently cited public evidence was available. Public assets remain unverified. Findings rely on the supplied answers or are explicitly unknown.

How to read this report

A limited review of public sources found through OpenAI web search, combined with the owner's answers. It does not log in, inspect private messages, test security vulnerabilities, verify all content or establish how internal controls work. Likelihood: 1 unlikely, 2 possible, 3 likely. Impact: 1 limited, 2 material, 3 serious harm. Priority rating: 1-2 Low, 3-4 Moderate, 6-9 High. These are qualitative triage judgements, not probabilities or legal risk tiers. Unknowns remain unrated; no verified residual-risk rating is claimed. Controls require owner validation and testing.

Legal context

Legal context reviewed 29 October 2026. The Digital Duty of Care Bill passed the House of Representatives; Senate passage and Royal Assent were not recorded. The introduced Bill and agreed amendments propose written annual assessments, reassessment before significant changes introducing additional risk, and at least six years of retention. The proposed assessment regime is deferred for 12 months after Royal Assent. Coverage, exemptions, commencement and final rules must be checked when the law changes. This report is preparation support, not a statutory compliance certificate.

Source basis: introduced Bill, government amendments and agreed Chaney amendments. Check the consolidated Bill and any later rules before relying on clause-level requirements. Current DIS Code exemptions are separate and do not establish an exemption from all online-safety obligations.

What is working

- A person is responsible for the business accounts.
- The owner reports limited user interaction on the website.

Outstanding checks

- This is an illustrative report. No business website or social account was inspected.
- User ages, actual incident history and internal control effectiveness need owner verification.
- Final legislative text, commencement and applicable exemptions must be checked.

Risk register and action plan

Ratings reflect the stated evidence and current information. Proposed actions have not been implemented or verified. No residual-risk rating is certified.

01 Make it easy to raise a safety concern

Moderate | Within 30 days | Reporting and response

WHO COULD BE AFFECTED

Customers, prospective customers and staff.

FINDING - OWNER-SUPPLIED

The fictional owner describes a general contact form, but no documented process for harmful comments or impersonation reports.

LIKELIHOOD, IMPACT AND BASIS

2/3 likelihood; 2/3 impact. Illustrative judgement based on the fictional owner answers. Public content and control effectiveness have not been verified.

EXISTING SAFEGUARDS

The owner reports a contact form and manual checks of business social accounts. These controls have not been tested.

RECOMMENDED ACTION

Add a clearly labelled reporting contact to the website and social bio. Assign an owner and backup, define an escalation route and test that a report reaches them.

EXPECTED EFFECT AND BASIS

A named owner and documented process should make responses more consistent. Confirm this by testing a report and recording the response.

HOW TO VERIFY IT WORKS

Send a harmless test report, check receipt and escalation, and record the result.

DURATION AND REVIEW

Keep in place while the channel operates. Test quarterly and after changes.

Suggested action owner: Business owner. Confirm owner, due date and completion in the action log.

02 Reduce exposure through social comments

Moderate | Within 30 days | User interaction

WHO COULD BE AFFECTED

Customers, prospective customers and staff.

FINDING - OWNER-SUPPLIED

The owner says comments are enabled on a business social account. Public comments can expose customers or staff to abuse or unwanted personal information.

LIKELIHOOD, IMPACT AND BASIS

2/3 likelihood; 2/3 impact. Illustrative judgement based on the fictional owner answers. Public content and control effectiveness have not been verified.

EXISTING SAFEGUARDS

The owner reports a contact form and manual checks of business social accounts. These controls have not been tested.

RECOMMENDED ACTION

Set appropriate comment filters, publish simple participation rules and review tagged content. Record how staff should hide, report or escalate harmful posts without reproducing them.

EXPECTED EFFECT AND BASIS

A named owner and documented process should make responses more consistent. Confirm this by testing a report and recording the response.

HOW TO VERIFY IT WORKS

Send a harmless test report, check receipt and escalation, and record the result.

DURATION AND REVIEW

Keep in place while the channel operates. Test quarterly and after changes.

Suggested action owner: Business owner. Confirm owner, due date and completion in the action log.

03 Check how contact information is handled

Unrated | Within 30 days | Personal information

WHO COULD BE AFFECTED

Customers, prospective customers and staff.

FINDING - NOT VERIFIED

A contact form collects customer enquiries. The owner has not supplied evidence showing what is collected, who can access it or when it is deleted.

LIKELIHOOD, IMPACT AND BASIS

Unrated. Illustrative judgement based on the fictional owner answers. Public content and control effectiveness have not been verified.

EXISTING SAFEGUARDS

The owner reports a contact form and manual checks of business social accounts. These controls have not been tested.

RECOMMENDED ACTION

Review the form fields, collection notice, inbox permissions and retention settings. Avoid asking for sensitive information and test the deletion process.

EXPECTED EFFECT AND BASIS

A named owner and documented process should make responses more consistent. Confirm this by testing a report and recording the response.

HOW TO VERIFY IT WORKS

Send a harmless test report, check receipt and escalation, and record the result.

DURATION AND REVIEW

Keep in place while the channel operates. Test quarterly and after changes.

Suggested action owner: Business owner. Confirm owner, due date and completion in the action log.

04 Turn informal checks into a repeatable review

Low | Within 90 days | Governance

WHO COULD BE AFFECTED

Customers, prospective customers and staff.

FINDING - OWNER-SUPPLIED

The fictional owner reviews accounts when time allows. No review log or change-trigger process has been described.

LIKELIHOOD, IMPACT AND BASIS

2/3 likelihood; 1/3 impact. Illustrative judgement based on the fictional owner answers. Public content and control effectiveness have not been verified.

EXISTING SAFEGUARDS

The owner reports a contact form and manual checks of business social accounts. These controls have not been tested.

RECOMMENDED ACTION

Keep a simple safety log. Record complaints, review actions and safeguard tests. Revisit the assessment before adding public uploads, user messaging or an AI feature.

EXPECTED EFFECT AND BASIS

A named owner and documented process should make responses more consistent. Confirm this by testing a report and recording the response.

HOW TO VERIFY IT WORKS

Send a harmless test report, check receipt and escalation, and record the result.

DURATION AND REVIEW

Keep in place while the channel operates. Test quarterly and after changes.

Suggested action owner: Business owner. Confirm owner, due date and completion in the action log.

Owner answers and assumptions

SERVICE AND AUDIENCE

business; Design and creative services. Australian users: yes. Under-18 use: unknown. Monthly Australian reach: Under 1,000.

BUSINESS CONTEXT

A fictional small studio with an informational website, a contact form and a business social account. Employees: 2-10; annual revenue: Prefer not to say. These do not determine statutory coverage.

FEATURES

reviews

A CLEAR WAY TO REPORT HARMFUL CONTENT

yes

CONTENT AND COMMUNITY SAFEGUARDS

unknown

SAFEGUARDS FOR YOUNGER USERS

unknown

PRIVACY AND ACCOUNT PROTECTIONS

unknown

A REGULAR SAFETY REVIEW

no

SAFEGUARDS DESCRIBED

The owner says business accounts are checked manually and enquiries go to a shared inbox.

EFFECTIVENESS EVIDENCE

No testing evidence supplied.

INCIDENTS OR CONCERNS

No incident evidence supplied.

PLANNED CHANGES

No planned changes supplied.

REVIEW ARRANGEMENTS

To be confirmed by the owner.

Owner review and action log

Confirm that the description and evidence reflect your service. Resolve unknowns and assess whether specialist advice or further investigation is needed. Retain this assessment, your evidence and records of actions taken. Review after incidents and significant service changes.

RESPONSIBLE PERSON / ROLE

Business owner

Reviewed by: _____

Date: _____ Next review: _____

1. Make it easy to raise a safety concern

Owner: _____ Due: _____

Action / evidence of completion: _____

2. Reduce exposure through social comments

Owner: _____ Due: _____

Action / evidence of completion: _____

3. Check how contact information is handled

Owner: _____ Due: _____

Action / evidence of completion: _____

4. Turn informal checks into a repeatable review

Owner: _____ Due: _____

Action / evidence of completion: _____

Resources

Follow the Bill in Parliament

https://www.aph.gov.au/Parliamentary_Business/Bills_Legislation/Bills_Search_Results/Result?bld=r7553

Read the introduced Bill

https://parlinfo.aph.gov.au/parlInfo/download/legislation/bills/r7553_first-reps/toc_pdf/26131b01.pdf;fileType=application%2Fpdf

Government amendments

https://parlinfo.aph.gov.au/parlInfo/search/display/display.w3p;query=Id%3A%22legislation%2Fems%2Fr7553_ems_2cf25142-dfcf-411d-ad6e-dc1b8d4c26d1%22

Current rules for websites

<https://www.esafety.gov.au/sites/default/files/2025-09/Schedule-6-Designated-Internet-Services-Online-Safety-Code-Class-1C-and-Class-2-Material.pdf>

Agreed crossbench amendments

https://parlinfo.aph.gov.au/parlInfo/download/legislation/amend/r7553_amend_94de72af-fd1a-4779-b6c7-c0230408dc0f/upload_pdf/26131%20Chaney.pdf;fileType=application%2Fpdf

Safety by Design

<https://www.esafety.gov.au/industry/safety-by-design/assessment-tools>

About this report

AI-assisted third-party assessment prepared by Riskwise.au, a project by Lewis. Report version 2026-10-29.1. No human legal or certification review is represented. You remain responsible for verifying the findings, implementing appropriate measures and meeting applicable obligations. Download and retain your own copy; Riskwise does not provide a report archive.